



A peek into a crab's optic lobes that support motion detection, visuallyguided behaviors and memory.

Julieta Sztarker







Universidad de Buenos Aires-CONICET Argentina

The crab Neohelice granulata



1 cm

Semiterrestrial (estuarine) crab. Very adapted to changes in salinity, humidity and temperature.









In the lab: Visual danger stimulus (VDS)



Videos: Fernando Locatelli

Central nervous system

















Mechanic stability

Easy access to the brain in the intact animal





Lobula giant neurons (LG)



LG Properties

Preferential response to moving objects. Most of them process mechanical information as well





LG response anticipates escape response in 120 msec

LG Properties

Binocular visual integration: ipsilateral and contralateral responses are very similar



LG Properties

Reflect the seasonal changes observed at the level of escape response



LG Properties - Learning

They reflect the learning-induced changes in crab's behavior induced by a high frequency (massed) training that generates a short memory (minutes) and by a spaced training that produces a long-term memory (days).

Short-term memory (test: 15 min post-training)





Tomsic et al, 2003. J Neuroscience

Long-term memory (test: 24 hs post-training)









Tomsic et al, 2003. J Neuroscience

LG Properties - Learning

Support crab's ability for generalisation and stimulus specificity produced by spaced training



Sztarker & Tomsic, 2011 J Neurosci

Looming detection









MLG2









Oliva and Tomsic, 2014; 2016

16 MLG1 elements





Medan et al. 2015 J. Neurosci





Medan et al. 2015 J. Neurosci



They are easily spotted even in unstained preparations



Locust- synapse organisation in looming detector neurons

Both LGMD 1 and 2 show synapses that occur in pairs, with the presynaptic densities of neighbouring afferent processes lying adjacent to one other. In each case, one postsynaptic profile belongs to the LGMD and the 2nd to the neighbouring afferent process, which also makes synapses upon both the LGMD and the 1st afferent.

This organisation is thought to provide both the lateral inhibition and the excitation needed to explain the collision sensitive nature of LGMD neurons.



Rind and Simmons, 1998 Rind and Leitinger, 2000

3rd instar locust:



We think that we can use unstained crab preparations where as in the locust, the big profiles of MLG1 neurons will be evident. Yair Barnatan secondment plan:

Find out if the synaptic organization of the transmedullary neurons synapsing with MLG1 neurons reflects the diadyc configuration found for locust LGMDs.



Rind and Simmons, 1998



















In flies the brain area involved in optic flow analysis and in commanding optomotor responses and course control is the lobula plate.



Bengochea, Berón de Astrada, Tomsic & Sztarker. J Comp Neurol 2017

Retinotopic inputs from the medulla



Retinotopic inputs from the lobula





Bengochea, Berón de Astrada, Tomsic & Sztarker. J Comp Neurol 2017

From a functional perspective:

 In flies there are 4 functional layers, each responding to one of the four cardinal directions Calcium imaging experiments



•In flies, the lobula plate tangential cells (LPTC) involve an horizontal and vertical system each represented by different cells.

Electrophysiology: intracellular recordings and staining

•LP has been related to the performance of optomotor responses and to course control

Ablation experiments (evaluate optomotor responses in ablated and sham animals)

Behavioral experiments evaluating optomotor responses in crabs



If eyestalks are glued in a fixed position crabs perform optomotor responses by rotating with the whole body (easily recordable).

We then occluded the vision of one eye or the other by using a removable cap.

Virtual stimulation with an optic flow pattern



Virtual stimulation with an optic flow pattern



High responses in monocular crabs are to front to back movement (FTB) in the ipsilateral field of view \sim 0.71













Neohelice

Uca



Clear eye dominance corresponding to the large claw

Eye dominance in *Neohelice*: in all the experiments right eye driven OR were stronger



dominance and claw size?



To explain these results we propose that the centre integrating and commanding optomotor responses should receive information from direction selective neurons responding to FTB motion as preferred stimulus .

vision

→ Null +preferred → Moderate OR

Direction selective neurons (ipsilateral receptive field)



Single bar (right monitor)



But these neurons don't respond steadily to wide field motion



Plastic vs sustained response



We are planning to record neurons from our candidate region to be involved in optic flow analysis (the lobula plate) to see if we can find directional neurons with strong responses to wide field motion and sustained responses. Yair Barnatan Florencia Scarano Mercedes Bengochea María Grazia Lepore

Martín Berón de Astrada Daniel Tomsic







Laboratory of Neurobiology of Memory

Grants: Universidad de Bs As FONCyT CONICET