# In-flight Measurement of Nanoparticle Surface Area and Volume

C. Jourdain, Dr. A. Boies, Prof. S. Hochgreb (University of Cambridge) – Prof. J. Reid, Dr. R. Miles (University of Bristol) – Dr. J. Symonds (Cambustion Ltd) Annual Aerosol Science Conference / 4th Nov - 5th Nov 2021

UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE	University of BRISTOL		CAMBUSTION	Er	ngineering and Physical Sciences	
Why is measuring and controlling particle morphology important?			Background and current limitations			
<ul> <li>Particle morphology has a pive</li> <li>It contributes to the radiative</li> <li>A millions death/year, M</li> <li>(light absorption and diffus</li> <li>Industrial processes also redeposition applied to carbo</li> <li>A better understanding car</li> <li>It can affect the accuracy of</li> </ul>	votal role in Science and Industry: ve forcing and overall air pollution VHO), by dictating many underlying properties sion, transport) rely on morphology, e.g., chemical vapour on nanotubes manufacturing <sup>1</sup> n unlock new battery technologies <sup>2</sup> of aerosol instrumentation <sup>3</sup>		<ul> <li>Aggregates dynamics is affected by their shape and compactness<sup>4</sup></li> <li>Properties such as surface area and volume are not readily accessible with conventional techniques:</li> <li>          ¬ A complete heterogeneous condensation cycle, e.g., in the atmosphere, includes embryo nucleation, partial wetting, and full encapsulation         ¬ Full encapsulation prevents any surface area measureme</li></ul>	re	20 m The soot via SEM imaging <sup>4</sup>	
How can we recover these properties?			Modelling results and perspectives			
<ul> <li>Concept: add material to the surface of nanoparticles a real-time to deduce the initial morphology</li> <li>The physico-chemical mechanisms involved include: liquid redistribution, heat and mass transfers with the su</li> <li>Methodology: use a forward-backward concept combin         <ul> <li>The forward approach consists in determining the consigned approach consists in determining the consigned approach consists in determining the consigned approach consists in determining the construction.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	and record the changes of properties in surface adsorption/condensation, irroundings hing both experiments and modelling bated aggregate properties knowing the bare		<b>Liquid redistribution</b> The equilibrium shapes are determined by solving the conservation laws - including a surface tension term - in the finite elements framework Condensation/evaporation flux set to zero Monomer radius, $R_0 = 5$ nm Spherical ring for a dimer	,P)		

The surface area  $S_A$  and volume  $V_A$  of the bare soot are the properties of interest that should be recovered by the backward approach



• Need to characterise the capillary condensation regime in an aggregate-liquid system with specific wetting properties

## Experimental methodology

- **Requirement:** build a growth chamber (saturator) for precisely controlled growth of nanoparticles
- Current growth chambers include cloud chambers and CPCs<sup>5</sup>
- Preliminary results ( $T_{sheath} = T_{sample} = 10^{\circ}C$ ,  $T_{walls} = 35^{\circ}C$ ,  $Q_{sheath} = 2.5$  lpm,  $Q_{sample} = 0.2$  lpm)





Spherical encapsulation for the aggregate



Quasi-fractal aggregate:  $N = k(R_{o}/R_{o})^{Df}$ 



k = 1.7

Df = 2.9N = 1000N = 100N = 200k = 1.5 k = 1.8 d = 10 nmd = 10 nmd = 10 nm

#### Aggregate compactness



20- $\theta_{e} = 80$ () 1.  $\Psi_2 \Psi_c$ 100 Dimer sketch (left) and saturation v. filling angle (right)

KKKKK

#### Meniscii representation using MATLAB



Integration within a three-stages instrumentation line: generation, processing, and measurement









The mobility parameter ratio B\* decreases linearly (log-log) with the increase of monomer size until the full encapsulation



Water pendular ring evolution on a small aggregate  $(N = 18, Df = 1.78, k = 1.3, R_0 = 5 nm, \theta_s = 80^\circ)$ 

The growth factor by mass  $G_{fm}$  and B<sup>\*</sup> are plotted against the filling fraction  $F_{f}$ 

The equilibrium saturation S curve is also shown for reference





Liquid bridge formation with MD<sup>6</sup>

Both mass and mobility are affected by the coating process:  $G_{fm}$  slowly increases up to  $F_f = 0.25$  and

## **Innovations and challenges**

### Innovations

Build a new approach to detect, control, and measure particle growth and pave the way for new instrumentation designs and regulations

## Challenges

- Limited instrumentation resolution may prevent detection of slight changes in properties
- Current growth techniques cannot provide precisely targeted liquid addition (ex: via BET)
- Unwanted evaporation/condensation may take place in instruments and tubing
- Surface energy is highly variable and not a real-time measurement, additional experiments in conjunction with semi-empirical models are necessary
- Multi-scale modelling requires an accurate and efficient approach

## Perspectives

#### **Experimental setup**

- Experimental simulations of particle enlargement in the chamber
- Chamber design, manufacturing, and preliminary tests
- Instrumentation line setup and sensitivity analysis

#### Modelling



#### References **Sponsorship and contact** This project is funded by Cambustion Ltd, Cambridge, UK 1. S. Sinnott et al., *Chem. Phys. Lett.* 315, 1 (1999) 4. A. Boies et al., *Aerosol Sci. Tech.* **49**, 9 (2015) 5. S. Hering et al., *Aerosol Sci. Tech.* **39**, 7 (2005) 2. K. Griffith et al., *Nature* 559, 556-563 (2018) Email: <u>amb233@cam.ac.uk</u> (AB), <u>cj443@cam.ac.uk</u> (CJ) 3. W. Hinds, Aerosol Technology (1999) 6. J. Laube et al., *Langmuir* **31**, 41 (2015)