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**In Brief**
Yin et al. use X-ray microtomography to show that the 609-Ma-old *Caveasphaera* develops within an envelope by cell division, ingression, detachment, and polar aggregation in a manner analogous to gastrulation. Together with evidence of functional cell adhesion and development within an envelope, this is suggestive of a holozoan affinity.

**Highlights**
- *Caveasphaera* is an enigmatic component of the 609-Ma Weng’an Biota of South China
- Yin et al. use X-ray tomography to characterize cellular structure and development
- Gastrulation-like cell division, ingression, detachment, and polar aggregation occur
- A holozoan affinity suggests the early evolution of metazoan-like development
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SUMMARY

The Ediacaran Weng’an Biota (Doushantuo Formation, 609 Ma old) is a rich microfossil assemblage that preserves biological structure to a subcellular level of fidelity and encompasses a range of developmental stages [1]. However, the animal embryo interpretation of the main components of the biota has been the subject of controversy [2, 3]. Here, we describe the development of Caveasphaera, which varies in morphology from lensoid to a hollow spheroidal cage [4] to a solid spheroid [5] but has largely evaded description and interpretation. Caveasphaera is demonstrably cellular and develops within an envelope by cell division and migration, first defining the spheroidal perimeter via anastomosing cell masses that thicken and ingress as strands of cells that detach and subsequently aggregate in a polar region. Concomitantly, the overall diameter increases as does the volume of the cell mass, but after an initial phase of reductive palinotomy, the volume of individual cells remains the same through development. The process of cell ingestion, detachment, and polar aggregation is analogous to gastrulation; together with evidence of functional cell adhesion and development within an envelope, this is suggestive of a holozoan affinity. Parental investment in the embryonic development of Caveasphaera and co-occurring Tianzhushania and Spiralicellula, as well as delayed onset of later development, may reflect an adaptation to the heterogeneous nature of the early Ediacaran nearshore marine environments in which early animals evolved.

RESULTS

The Weng’an biota provides a unique insight into multicellular life in the early Ediacaran period, during which molecular clocks estimate the fundamental animal lineages to have diverged [6]. Indeed, there are numerous claims of animal remains from the biota, including miniature adult eumetazoans [7] and bilaterians [8], and embryonic animals [2, 9–12], but all remain contentious [3, 13–18]. However, there is a broader diversity of fossil remains from this deposit that have been the subject of little attention, some of which may have a greater claim on animal affinity. These fossils include Caveasphaera costata (Figure 1), which has been described as a spherical hollow cage (Figures 1A–1C) [4] to a more solid sphere (Figure 1D) [5] of unknown nature and affinity, though superficial comparison has been drawn to embryos of an octocoral [4]. Analysis of the structure and development of Caveasphaera is challenging because of its small size and complex morphology. We employed synchrotron radiation X-ray tomographic microscopy (srXTM) [19] and high-resolution X-ray microtomography [20] to analyze 233 specimens of Caveasphaera that encompass its morphological and size range, based on a rich fossil assemblage from “54” and Datang quarries in the Baiyan-Gaoping Anticline of Weng’an County, Guizhou Province, South China [21].

The cage-like and solid morphs have been interpreted to reflect intraspecific variation [5] but instead represent members of a more continuous spectrum of morphological variation (Figures 1 and 2). Caveasphaera is a rare component of the Weng’an Biota, distinguished by its comparatively small cells, organized into a distinctive hollow spheroidal cage delimited by branching strands of cells and radial cell strands (Figures 1 and 2). Earlier stages are lensoidal but can be associated reliably because they are composed of cells of similar phenotype and exhibit the characteristic arrangement of polarized and branching cell masses (Figures 1A, 2A, and 2B). The latest stages identified are increasingly solid but can be associated based on their transitional continuum with earlier hollow stages (Figures 2C–2R). Although there is some taphonomic variation within the assemblage, 70 specimens are preserved in high fidelity (Figures 1 and 2), demonstrating a cellular composition in which polygonal cells are closely packed with Y-shaped interfaces (Figures 1E, 1F, and 2U–2Z). Quantitative computed tomography of the srXTM data demonstrates little variation in the volume of component cells within any one specimen and across development (Figure 3A)—with the exception of the lensoidal stages that
have larger and fewer cells (Figures 1A and 1E). Across the range of specimens, there is an almost 2-fold (373–735 $\mu$m) increase in diameter and a more than 8-fold increase in the sum volume of cells (0.0171–0.2 mm$^3$; Figure 3B; the raw data for Figure 3 is available in Data S1).

Almost all of the specimens of Caveasphaera in our collection lack an enclosing envelope, and for most of the specimens with an envelope, it is difficult to exclude the possibility that the association is taphonomic (e.g., Figures S1K and S1L). The paucity of specimens preserving an envelope is a reflection of the practical challenge of attributing specimens to Caveasphaera when their morphology is obscured by an envelope. Nevertheless, a small number of specimens representative of early and late stages of development are preserved in association with incomplete envelopes (Figures 1C, 1D, and S1A–S1J), and the broken openings into these are too small to support a post mortem taphonomic association. The envelopes range in diameter from 504 to 806 $\mu$m and, when completely preserved, have two layers, the outermost of which has an approximately polygonal verrucose ornament reminiscent of the “Megasphaera” taphomorph of Tianzhushania (Figures S1C, S1D, S1G, and S1H); this is more often lost taphonomically, revealing the smooth and featureless inner layer (Figures 1C, 1D, S1E, S1F, and S1J), as is common with other embryo-like fossils from the Weng’an Biota [23]. The thickness of the inner envelope varies with the degree of void-filling diagenetic mineralization (compare Figures S1E, S1F, and S1J versus Figures S1J–S1L).

The specimens with among the lowest aggregate volume of cells have a lensoidal morphology with one smooth convex surface and another from which cellular protruberances emerge (Figures 1A, 2A, and 2B). The smallest hollow spheroidal specimens are composed of an interconnected network of anastomosing branches that show evidence of bifurcation, coalescence, and radial ingression (Figures 1B, 2C, 2D, 2K, and 2L). The branching meshwork of cells defining the perimeter increases in size across specimens of progressive development (Figures 1A, 1B, and 2A–2C versus Figures 2E, 2F, 2M, and 2N) and shows branching within this plane as well as radially toward the center, where it occupies the volume defined by the perimeter, as evidenced by laterally and internally protruding “tails” (Figures 1A, 2C–2E, 2K–2M, 2O, 2W, 4C, 4D, S2B, and S2F). Consequently, the spheroidal perimeter is more continuous, with fewer and smaller openings to the interior (Figures 2G–2J); the depth of the perimeter cell layer also increases (Figures 2K and 2L versus Figures 2N–2Q). However, although the outer surface of the cell mass is smooth and convex, the inner surface is generally concave and irregular (Figures 2M, 2O, and 2P), as a consequence of inwardly extending strands of cells (Figures 2U and 2W). In part, this appears to reflect ingression of cells and cell clusters from the inner surface of the circumferential cell mass. This appears to have occurred individually, as evidenced by the development of constrictions in the radial cell strands to define barely attached cell clusters (Figures 2L, 2M, 2T, and 2U), as well as isolated cell clusters that occur only within the lumen (Figures 4A–4D, 4I, 4J, and S2A–S2L). Although taphonomy experiments have shown that cells within cleavage...
and gastrula embryos can lose adhesion post mortem [24], cell ingression seems to have occurred in vivo in Caveasphaera because there is direct evidence of cell masses coalescing (Figures 2L and 2T), which occurs in parallel with polar thickening of the cortex of cells, resulting in a thick-based, approximately cup-shaped body that reaches up around 80%–90% of the spheroid volume (Figures 2F, 2I, 2N, and 2Q). Our largest specimen is an almost solid spheroid of cells preserving evidence of the infilling of the central void with cells (Figures 2J, 2R, and 2Z). There is no evidence of the cell phenotype differentiation seen in other multicellular organisms in the Weng’an Biota [3, 25], nor do we have specimens representative of what must be the very earliest stages of development, composed of few cells.

Among our collection of specimens, the aggregate volume of cells comprising specimens increases broadly and continuously with size (Figure 3B). Aside from the lensoidal stage (Figures 1A, 2A, and 2B), the majority of specimens resemble spheroids exhibiting successive stages of development (Figures 2A–J) that are characterized by a thick-based, approximately cup-shaped body.
(2A, and 2B), the average volume of individual cells shows little variation between those in the center of the body versus the perimeter (mean and SD of 2,881 $\mu m^3$ and 1,318 $\mu m^3$ versus 3,197 $\mu m^3$ and 1,288 $\mu m^3$, respectively). There is no explicit correlation between specimen and cell size variables, and the volume of individual cells remains in a similar range among specimens from different size classes (Figure 3A). These facts require that the increase in the aggregate volume of cells among specimens is achieved largely through cell addition rather than through increase in the volume of individual cells (Figure 3B). Additional cells must have emerged through cell division and growth; as this is not observed, it must have occurred at the time of cell division. Some of this variance in aggregate cell volume can be accounted for as a taphonomic artifact or developmental variation because many of the specimens we studied preserve cell aggregates that are isolated from the larger cell mass, preserved in situ within the central void as a consequence of geological mineralization (Figures 4A–4D and S2; their topology requires that they were held in place by a non-cellular matrix in vivo; this non-cellular matrix has been preserved in some specimens, for example, Figures 4E–4H, 4K, 4L, and S3). The isolated cell clusters occur across the size range of specimens and range in number from 1 to 26, with most specimens preserving fewer than 10. Hence, it is likely that the aggregate volume of cells in many of the lower-density cage-like specimens is reduced as a consequence of the loss of isolated cell clusters post mortem. Nevertheless, these isolated cell clusters evidence a process by which the hollow stages develop into their denser, larger counterparts.

The presence of an outer envelope is potentially difficult to rationalize because it requires that the development of Caveasphaera (Figure 3C), with a greater than 8-fold increase in volume across specimens in our collection (Figure 3B), proceeded without an external source of nutrients. This contrasts with the
pattern of development exhibited by Tianzhushania and Spiralicellula from the same deposit, which exhibit a more approximately constant volume across a pattern of binary reductive palintomy [3, 26]. This could be rationalized in Caveasphaera if the true pattern of development is the reverse of that described in Figure 3C, where the larger, denser specimens represent the earliest stages and development proceeds through the loss of cells, perhaps as propagules or gametes, detaching first from the interior as individual cells and clusters, with the overall cell mass reshaping and resizing through this process. However, the enclosing envelope makes this interpretation unlikely, if not impossible, because the expectation of hundreds of loose cells entrained within the envelope but outside the lumen is not met. The difference in cell size between the lensoidal and spheroidal morphs also strongly suggests that they represent early and later stages, respectively. Tianzhushania and Spiralicellula both preserve evidence of intracellular lipids in specimens representative of the first few rounds of palintomy [17], but these cells are orders of magnitude larger than the cells of Caveasphaera; comparably sized cells representative of later stages of palintomy show no such evidence. Nevertheless, two specimens of Caveasphaera preserve an extracellular matrix containing spheroidal structures that are smaller than the polygonal cells (Figures 4E–4H, 4K, 4L, and S3). These exhibit comparable preservation to the structures interpreted as intracellular lipid droplets in Tianzhushania and Spiralicellula [17, 26], suggesting that these developmental stages of Caveasphaera may have been invested in extracellular lipids that sustained growth and development within the closed, enveloped environment.

Figure 4. Specimens with Isolated Cell Clusters and Possible Lipid Droplets
(A and C) Surface renderings; the cell clusters were indicated by red, cyan, green, pink, purple, and blue.
(B and D) Virtual three-dimensional sections of (A) and (C), respectively.
(E and G) Surface renderings of (F) and (H).
(F and H) Virtual slices of (E) and (G), respectively, showing preserved intercellular matrix.
(I and J) Close-up views of (B), showing cellular structures of isolated cell cluster and cell mass.
(K and L) Close-up views of (F) and (H), respectively, showing lipid-like vesicles (arrowheads).
See also Figure S3. Scale bars, 150 μm for (A) (NIGP171538); 140 μm for (B); 110 μm for (C) and (D) (NIGP171455); 85 μm for (E) and (F) (NIGP171651); 120 μm for (G) and (H) (NIGP171585); 35 μm for (I); 27 μm for (J); 30 μm for (K); and 38 μm for (L).
DISCUSSION

The multicelled organization of Caveasphaera invites comparison to prokaryotes and eukaryotes with multicellular stages in their life cycles. The branching arrangement of cell masses seen in the cyanobacterium Microcystis [27, 28] are particularly reminiscent of Caveasphaera, but Microcystis does not comprise spheroids in this planktonic conformation [29]. Like other prokaryote multicellular colonies, Microcystis lacks the enclosing envelope of Caveasphaera; the cells are physically separate, bound together (through aggregation or vegetative association) [30] by mucilage [31], and so the cells do not exhibit the Y-shaped intercell junctions seen in Caveasphaera, which are indicative of cell adhesion. Bacterial cysts form from thickening of the cell wall but are unicellular. Bacterial endospores are usually singular, forming within the mother cell wall; exceptionally, up to nine endospores can form per cell [32], but even in such circumstances, the endospores do not exhibit the Y-shaped intercell conformation exhibited by Caveasphaera. Indeed, Y-shaped intercell junctions are commonly interpreted to reflect flexible cell membranes and functional cell adhesion indicative of tissue-grade multicellularity and, specifically, an animal affinity [1, 2, 33, 34]. However, diverse eukaryotes exhibit multicellular stages in their life cycles [35], many of which have cells arranged with Y-shaped intercell junctions [21]. Because multicellularity has evolved many times among extant eukaryotes, Caveasphaera might belong to any one of these living or (likely many more) extinct lineages of multicellular eukaryotes.

For example, apicomplexans, such as Perkinsus, also possess multicellular hypnospores and zoospores encapsulated within a cyst [36, 37], as do the chlorophytes Ulothrix and Chlorococum, whereas chlorophytes, such as Spirogyra, and dinophycean dinoflagellates provide encysted hypnozygotes of comparable grade [38]. Rhodophytes, such as Phleothamnion, also produce polysporangiate spore masses that arise from repeated rounds of division beyond those that normally produce tetraspores [39]. Animals, plants, as well as red, brown, and green algae all exhibit multicellular embryonic development [35]. The embryos of phaeophytes differentiate quickly, with rhizoid development apparent from before the first round of palintomy [40]; carpospore development in rhodophytes goes through more rounds of development, but sporophyte morphogenesis is apparent within four or five rounds of palintomy [41, 42]. In both phaeophytes and rhodophytes, the embryos are initially naked, only subsequently developing an irregular mucilaginous sheath [43, 44]. Volvocine algae exhibit cellular differentiation and recurrent rounds of palintomy, a process of inversion that resembles patterns of gastrulation in some animals [45], and some volvocines also produce complex ornamented cysts [46]. However, the coordinated arrangement of cells in the multicellular zygotes of volvocines is achieved through incomplete cell division that appears ancestral [47].

None of these examples bear close comparison to Caveasphaera in that either their component cells are relatively small in number and uncoordinated (hypnospores, hypnozygotes, and polysporangia), they lack a resting cyst and undergo rapid morphogenesis with only a single cell or a few tens of cells (phaeophyte embryos and rhodophyte carpospores), or they achieve cell coordination through incomplete cell division (volvocines). Much greater coordinated arrangement is exhibited by holozoans, such as the ichthyosporeans Creolimax, Pirum, and Sphaeroforma which, along with filastereans, possess a spheroidal multicellular stage composed of tens to hundreds of cells with Y-shaped intercell junctions facilitated by functional cell adhesion [22]. Ichthyosporeans like Sphaeroforma develop initially as a multinucleate coenocyte [48] before undergoing cytokinesis to form a blastocoele-like structure with an epithelium-like perimeter of cells enclosed within an envelope [49–51]; the cells ultimately disaggregate and are released to the environment [51]. Thus, among eukaryotes that exhibit a multicellular embryo, spore, or sporangial stage, the number of cells and patterns of cell adhesion and rearrangement inferred in the development of Caveasphaera bear close comparison only to the multicellular stages of non-metazoan holozoans and animal embryos. The arrangement of cells, defining an incomplete perimeter of the overall spheroid, is also seen in animal embryos [52, 53]. The overall arrangement of cells defining a perimeter around a central cavity is reminiscent of ichthyosporeans and the blastocoeals of animal embryos. The inferred pattern of cell ingestion, detachment, and subsequent polar aggregation is comparable to gastrulation. Indeed, the pattern of branching cell masses is similar to the process of elongation associated with the developing gastrulae and planulae of cnidarians like Hydractinia [54], perhaps reflecting a similar process of embryonic development. Because the many alternative non-holozoan instances of convergently evolved aggregative or embryonic multicellularity are much more similar to one another than the more complex development of Caveasphaera, its similarities to holozoan and metazoan embryonic development are less likely to represent an extinct independent instantiation of embryonic multicellularity.

The developmental biology of Caveasphaera (Figure 3C) is more similar to the embryos of crown-metazoa than it is to outgroups, including the choanoflagellates, filastereans, and ichthyosporeans (non-metazoan holozoans; Figure 3D), all of which have a coordinated multicellular stage in what are otherwise unicellular life histories [22]. In large part, this is facilitated by the shared components of what has been perceived to be a metazoan developmental toolkit of transcription factors, cell adhesion, and cell-signaling molecules [55], elements of which have been lost in filastereans and choanoflagellates [22, 55], reflecting lost ancestral complexity that may belie the distinctive complexity of metazoan body plans and their development, with respect to their holozoan [22] or opisthokont [56] ancestry. For this reason, we cannot discriminate the possibility that Caveasphaera was a close holozoan relative of metazoa from the possibility that it represents a stem- or crown-metazoan (Figure 3D). Therefore, we hold back on concluding that Caveasphaera evidences the origin of animals and their embryology, but it clearly indicates that processes similar to gastrulation, which is a shared primitive feature of metazoa [57], were present already by the early Ediacaran. In this regard, it is interesting that the development of Caveasphaera was invested in parental resources, like the large and lipid-rich Tianzhushania and Spirillicula. This, together with the apparently delayed onset of later development—extending beyond thousands of cells—presumably reflects adaptation to the temporally and spatially heterogeneous nature of environmental conditions that prevailed in early Ediacaran nearshore marine environments [58]. In this sense,
attendant adverse geochemical environments do not appear to have constrained these formative steps in the evolutionary origins of animal complexity.
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LEAD CONTACT AND MATERIALS AVAILABILITY

Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the Lead Contact Philip Donoghue (phil.donoghue@bristol.ac.uk).

EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS

The specimens described in this study are available at Nanjing Institute of Palaeontology and Stratigraphy Chinese Academy of Sciences (NIGPAS), Nanjing, China under collections numbers NIGP 171455 to 171680, 171725 to 171732 and the Swedish Museum of Natural History, Box 50007, SE-104 05, Stockholm, Sweden under collections numbers NRM X8334 to X8340.

METHOD DETAILS

Specimen recovery
Specimens were recovered from rock samples from the Upper Phosphorites of the Datang and 54 quarries, Weng’an, Guizhou Province, China [21]. The carbonate constituents of the samples were dissolved in ca 8%–10% acetic acid and the phosphatised fossils were recovered from the resulting residues by manual sorting under a binocular microscope. Figured specimens are deposited at Nanjing Institute of Geology and Palaeontology, Chinese Academy of Sciences (NIGPAS). Selected specimens were also examined using a scanning electronic microscope (Leo VP1530) operating at voltage ranging from 5 to 20 KV.

Scanning Electron Microscopy
Selected specimens were also examined using a scanning electronic microscope (Leo VP1530) operating at voltage ranging from 5 to 20 kv, at the Nanjing Institute of Palaeontology and Stratigraphy, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Nanjing, China.

X-Ray and Computed Tomography
Tomographic scanning was carried out at the X02DA (TOMCAT) beamline of Swiss Light Source (Paul Scherrer Institute, Switzerland) and at the micro-CT lab of NIGPAS, using srXTM [19] and high-resolution X-ray microtomography [20]. The tomographic data were analyzed using AVIZO and VG StudioMax (3.0) software. A three-dimensional model was reconstructed for each specimen and the body volume was calculated in Avizo or VG StudioMax from the segmented model. As the specimens are not perfect spheres, the diameter of Caveasphaera was calculated as the average of three (x, y, z) body measurements. 233 specimens were scanned and, 70 of them show well-preserved cellular structures (Data S1). The volume data for all of the 233 specimens (2.5Tb) are available at https://data.bris.ac.uk/data/dataset/7eku32izrwr5s2nmo2m0oxtij1 Volume data for 100 unbroken specimens were segmented to measure the body diameter, number of isolated cell clusters and sum volume of cell mass. Based on the segmented volume data of the specimens showing the best cell preservation with clear membranes, individual volumes of 137 internal cells (within the main body) of 27 specimens across different developmental stages were measured, and 48 surface cells (in contact with the surface of the main body) of 8 specimens with different sizes were measured. Cell volumes were calculated in Avizo using the material statistics function.
QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Graphs and statistical analyses were performed using R [59].

DATA AND CODE AVAILABILITY

The tomographic datasets and associated computed tomographic models are available from Bristol University Research Data Repository https://data.bris.ac.uk/data/dataset/7eku32izrw5s2nmo2m0oxtj1