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The problem

In the UK:
• 30 - 40% of people had been exposed to online abuse
• 10 – 20% have been a direct target of abuse
(Vidgen, Margetts, Harris, 2019)

Exposure to online abuse can significantly impact well-being, leading to:
• depression
• anxiety
• suicidal ideation
(Stevens, Nurse, Arief, 2021)
What’s being done?

Moderation

• Social networks using ML models to help detect online abuse, to help with post-creation moderation.

Limitations / Issues

• Concerns related to freedom of speech due to automated approach to moderation

• Concerns related to fairness and discrimination due to the way ML models are trained. See: Sap et al., (2021) bias evaluation of Perspective API.
What’s being done?

**Embedded “in the moment prompts”**
- Platforms experimenting/deploying ML models to prompt users prior to sending
- Twitter prompt shows some evidence of effectiveness. See: Katsaros et al., (2021)
- Tinder deployed offensive language detection in IM (no evaluation available)

**Limitations / Issues**
- Platform dependent (i.e. doesn’t learn from users behaviour across platforms)
- Little research on effectiveness of different approaches
What’s being done?

Keyboard based interventions

- BBC’s Ownit App detects tone of language and provides educational prompts

- No evaluation available, and is designed specifically for younger children
Reflective Interfaces

• “In the moment” approaches aim to cause moments of reflection

• Jones (2021) and Van Royen et al., (2017) both identified reflective interfaces reducing instances of harassment. They explored:
  • Text-based prompts
  • Time delays

• Prompts can result in self-corrective behaviour towards positive behavioural norms.
Could an “in the moment” intervention built into a mobile keyboard, reduce toxic interactions?
**Project approach**

1. Design workshops (to inform prototype)
2. Toxicty Model Refinement
3. Prototype Development
4. Qualitative evaluation of prototype
Design Workshops

Four design workshops conducted with:

1. Postgraduate students working in social justice / HCI
2. Experts in cyberbullying, online hate, and other forms of toxic content
3. Online moderations (dealing with and receiving abuse)
4. People who have previously sent harmful content, but regret it
What participants did

Participants were asked to:

1. Discuss where the boundaries are between different types of content (e.g., hate and harmful messages)
2. Discuss the pro’s and con’s of this form of intervention
3. Design an imagined keyboard to respond to toxic content being written

Data was transcribed and analysed using a reflective thematic analysis approach
Codes and themes developed collaboratively

Initial themes:

1. A layered understanding of ‘context’
2. An audience continuum
3. Abusability of applications which embed toxicity models
Layered ‘context’

- Type of platform
- In vs out-group conversations
- Public vs private
- Message frequency
- Audience size
- Recruiting others into targeted attacks
- Conversation history
- Social histories of oppression and power structures
Audience continuum

- The unaware
- Those wanting to learn
- Emotionally triggered
- Hate as an emotional arousal
- Playing to an audience
- Determined and organised

Wang et al. (2011); Sleeper et al. (2013); Warner et al., (2021)
Abusability

Abusing for validation

“I got validated, I’m being hateful” (Moderator 2)

Gamification of the system

“Some people would actually relish that needle go up” (Producer 2)

System circumvention

“How can I just [...] find another way to say something hurtful in a way that the system doesn’t recognise” (Expert 2)
How to design?

- Learning and with machine co-learning
- Designing with adaptability
- Designing for direct and indirect effects
How to design?

Manage the tension between:
- Usability and friction
- Transparency (which could be open to abuse) and abstraction.

- Currently exploring designs at different stages of the interaction:
  - Long before writing
  - Immediately prior to writing
  - During writing
  - At the point of sending
  - Immediately after sending
  - Long after sending
Next steps...

- Integrating design insights into a working prototype keyboard
- Deployment and qualitative evaluation

Future future work:
- Iteration on the keyboard design
- Long-term deployment with control group
- Evaluation of different ML models within this sociotechnical system